E-Filed/**JS-6**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

	CV 12-5034-GHK (RZx)			Date	July 23, 2012
Title	Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Othoniel M. Ceballos				
Presiding:	The Honorable	GEOR	RGE H. KING, U. S. DIST	FRIC'	Γ JUDGE
I	Beatrice Herrera		N/A		N/A
Deputy Clerk		Со	Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs None		r Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendant: None		
Proceedir	ngs: (In Cham	bers) Order Reman	ding Case		
	•		ot be remanded to state c		
matter juris because the made a gen insufficient failure to tis subject mat OSC, Defer According the foregoin Court is DI	diction. We stated a amount in controveral allegation of be to confer subject numely and adequated ter jurisdiction. The dant is DEEMED y, this case is hereby, Plaintiff Deutscenter Deutschaft as moot.	that we appear to lactersy is alleged not to ias by the state court natter jurisdiction upon y respond to our OSO are docket reflects that to have admitted that by REMANDED to the Bank National Transport of the Bank National Transport is all to be supplied to the property of the Bank National Transport is all the supplied to the Bank National Transport is all the supplied to the Bank National Transport is all the supplied to	ex be remanded to state cell subject matter jurisdice exceed \$10,000. We all in the Notice of Removation this Court. We caution this Court. We caution the such response has but we lack subject matter the state court from which ust Company's Motion to	etion beso started ("Noned I admission fill jurisdeh it w	pased on diversity ted that Defendant OR"), but that this was Defendant that the sion that we lack led. Pursuant to our liction over this case. as removed. In light of
matter juris because the made a gen insufficient failure to tis subject mat OSC, Defer According the foregoin Court is DI	diction. We stated a amount in controveral allegation of be to confer subject number and adequated ter jurisdiction. The dant is DEEMED y, this case is herebag, Plaintiff Deutsc	that we appear to lactersy is alleged not to ias by the state court natter jurisdiction upon y respond to our OSO are docket reflects that to have admitted that by REMANDED to the Bank National Transport of the Bank National Transport is all to be supplied to the property of the Bank National Transport is all the supplied to the Bank National Transport is all the supplied to the Bank National Transport is all the supplied to	ek subject matter jurisdict exceed \$10,000. We all in the Notice of Removation this Court. We caution would be deemed his at no such response has but we lack subject matter the state court from which	etion beso started ("Noned I admission fill jurisdeh it w	pased on diversity ted that Defendant OR"), but that this was Defendant that the sion that we lack led. Pursuant to our liction over this case. as removed. In light of